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# Two polymorphs of aqua[ $N, N^{\prime}$-ethyl-enebis(salicylideneaminato- $N, O$ )]oxovanadium(V) nitrate 
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The title compound, aqua[bis(salicylidene)ethylenediamin-ato- $\left.O, N, N^{\prime}, O^{\prime}\right]$ oxovanadium(V) nitrate, $\left[\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, crystallizes as two polymorphs in the triclinic and monoclinic crystal systems. In both, the V atom has a distorted octahedral coordination geometry with a long V $\mathrm{O}_{\text {water }}$ bond trans to $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{O}$. The coordinated water molecules are hydrogen bonded to the nitrate ions so that pairs of cations are linked to give neutral centrosymmetric dimers. The $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {water }}$ distances are $1.598(2)$ and $2.257(2) \AA$, respectively, in the triclinic form, and 1.588 (3) and 2.230 (3) $\AA$, respectively, in the monoclinic form. In the triclinic form, the dimers pack so that the salen [bis(salicylidene)ethylenediaminate] ligands are parallel to each other, whereas in the monoclinic form, which is the denser, there is a herring-bone arrangement.

## Comment

Complexes of the type $\left[\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}\right.$ (Schiff base $\left.)\right] Y\left(Y\right.$ is $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ ) can be prepared by oxidizing [ $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{IV}} \mathrm{O}$ (Schiff base)] with $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{6}$ in acetonitrile, followed by the addition of HY (Nakajima et al., 1990). The structure of $\left[\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}\right.$ (salen)]$\mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ [salen is $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(salicylidene)ethylenediaminate] has been studied by X-ray diffraction by Bonadies et al. (1987) and the V atom shown to have a distorted octahedral coordination, with a long [2.456 (3) $\AA$ ] $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {perchlorate }}$ bond trans to $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{O}$. Nakajima et al. (1990) proposed, on the basis of absorption

(I), (II)
spectra, that their $\left[\mathrm{V}^{V} \mathrm{O}\right.$ (Schiff base) $] Y$ complexes had structures analogous to that of the $\left[\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}(\right.$ salen $\left.)\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ complex. A structural investigation of $\left[\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}(\right.$ salen $\left.)\right] \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ prepared by

Nakajima's method showed the product to contain two polymorphs, a triclinic form, (I), and a monoclinic form, (II), which contain $\left[\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}(\text { salen })\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{+}$and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ions. The structures of these two polymorphs are presented here.

In both forms, the cations are linked by hydrogen bonds via the nitrates to give centrosymmetric dimers (see scheme below; Figs. 1 and 2). The V atoms are coordinated to two N atoms and two O atoms of the salen ligand, to the vanadyl oxygen, and to a water molecule, which is trans to the $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{O}$ oxygen. This octahedral arrangement is distorted, in that the V atom is displaced out of the $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ plane in the direction of the vanadyl oxygen by $0.255 \AA$ in (I) and $0.260 \AA$ in (II), which is similar to the value of $0.270 \AA$ observed in the cation of $\left[\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}(\text { salen })-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right]$, (III) (Banci et al., 1984). The $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{O}$ distances in compounds (I), (II) and (III) are 1.598 (2), 1.588 (3) and 1.590 (5) $\AA$, respectively, and the $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {water }}$ distances are 2.257 (2), 2.230 (3) and 2.310 (5) Å, respectively.


These latter values are much longer than the usual $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}}-\mathrm{O}$ distance; cf. $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {salen }}$ of 1.823 (2) and 1.838 (2) $\AA$ in (I), and 1.835 (3) and 1.805 (3) $\AA$ in (II). An indication that the $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{O}$ distances in (I) and (II) really are different is that the $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{O}$ absorption in the IR spectrum of the bulk sample is a doublet.


Figure 1
A view of the $\left\{\left[\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}(\text { salen })\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right\}_{2}$ dimer in (I) showing the atomlabelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the $50 \%$ probability level and H atoms of the water molecules are shown as small circles of arbitrary radii; other H atoms have been omitted for clarity [symmetry code: (i) $1-x,-y, 1-z$ ].

The water molecules are hydrogen bonded to the nitrate ion so that there are two nitrate bridges between pairs of cations; $\mathrm{O}_{\text {water }} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\text {nitrate }}$ distances are 2.737 (2) and 2.779 (2) $\AA$ in (I), and 2.705 (4) and 2.813 (4) $\AA$ in (II). The V...V distance in the dimers is almost identical; 7.846 (1) $\AA$ in (I) and 7.850 (2) $\AA$ in (II). In both cases, the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bond for the non-hydrogen-bonded O atom is the shortest; 1.240 (2) $\AA$ in (I) and 1.243 (4) $\AA$ in (II), compared with 1.260 (2) and 1.263 (2) $\AA$ for the remaining two $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in (I), and 1.254 (4) and 1.258 (4) Å for the remaining two $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in (II).

Packing diagrams have been deposited. In the triclinic form, (I), the dimers pack so that the salen groups are parallel to each other, whereas in the monoclinic form, (II), there is a herring-bone arrangement, with an angle of $50^{\circ}$ between the planes through the salen ligands. The monoclinic form, (II), is the denser, with $\rho=1.609, c f .1 .582$ for (I).


Figure 2
A view of the $\left\{\left[\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}(\text { salen })\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right\}_{2}$ dimer in (II) showing the atomlabelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the $50 \%$ probability level and H atoms of the water molecules are shown as small circles of arbitrary radii; other H atoms have been omitted for clarity [symmetry code: (ii) $\frac{3}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}-y,-z$ ].

## Experimental

$\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{6}(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(180 \mathrm{ml})$ was added to $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{lV}} \mathrm{O}$ (salen) $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(90 \mathrm{ml})$. The volume was reduced to 90 ml using a rotary evaporator. The crude product was filtered and then dissolved in 1.5 M nitric acid ( 90 ml ), from which dark-violet crystals of the two polymorphs, (I) and (II), were obtained after 24 h (yield $0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). IR spectra ( KBr ): $v(\mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{O}) 965,972 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## Compound (I)

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \mathrm{NO}_{3}$
$M_{r}=413.28$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=8.663$ (2) A
$b=8.956$ (2) $\AA$
$c=13.293(3) \AA$
$\alpha=73.029(3)^{\circ}$
$\beta=83.852(4)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=61.662(3)^{\circ}$
$V=867.7(3) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
$D_{x}=1.582 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 4080

> reflections
$\theta=2.7-29.8^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.618 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=120 \mathrm{~K}$
Plate, dark violet
$0.40 \times 0.23 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer
$\omega$ rotation scans
Absorption correction: by integra-
tion (XPREP; Siemens, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.765, T_{\text {max }}=0.943$
16803 measured reflections
4960 independent reflections 3763 reflections with $I>3 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.082$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=29.8^{\circ}$
$h=-11 \rightarrow 11$
$k=-12 \rightarrow 12$
$l=-18 \rightarrow 19$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F$
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.49(7) \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.52(7)$ e $\AA^{-3}$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$ for (I).

| V-O1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V-O2 | $1.823(2)$ | $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{O} 4$ | $2.257(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{O} 3$ | $1.838(2)$ | $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $2.106(2)$ |
|  | $1.598(2)$ | $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $2.093(2)$ |
| O1-V-N2 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $159.04(6)$ | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{O} 4$ | $172.02(6)$ |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\AA^{\circ},^{\circ}$ ) for (I).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O4-HO4a $\cdots$ O7 | $0.89(2)$ | $1.89(3)$ | $2.779(2)$ | $175(2)$ |
| O4-HO4 $^{\mathrm{H}} \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.79(3)$ | $1.97(3)$ | $2.737(2)$ | $168(3)$ |

Symmetry code: (i) $1-x,-y, 1-z$.

## Compound (II)

Crystal data
$\left[\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \mathrm{NO}_{3}$
$M_{r}=413.28$
Monoclinic, $C 2 / c$
$D_{x}=1.609 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$

Monoclinic, $C 2 / c$
$a=16.413(2) \AA$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$b=8.1634$ (8) $\AA$
$c=25.628(3) \AA$
$\beta=96.619(2)^{\circ}$
$\beta=3411.1(6) \AA^{3}$
$Z=8$

Cell parameters from 2493
reflections
$\theta=1.6-29.8^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.628 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=120 \mathrm{~K}$
Plate, dark violet
$0.41 \times 0.19 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer
$\omega$ rotation scans
Absorption correction: by integration (XPREP; Siemens, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.820, T_{\text {max }}=0.992$
19982 measured reflections

## Refinement

| Refinement on $F$ | 244 parameters |
| :--- | :--- |
| $R=0.050$ | H atoms parameters constrained |
| $w R=0.047$ | $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$ |
| $S=1.059$ | $\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.33(5) \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ |
| 2461 reflections | $\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.39(5) \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ |

Table 3
Selected geometric parameters ( $\AA,^{\circ}$ ) for (II).

| V-O1 | $1.835(3)$ | V-O4 | $2.230(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V-O2 | $1.805(3)$ | V-N1 | $2.066(3)$ |
| V-O3 | $1.588(3)$ | V-N2 | $2.089(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| O1-V-N2 | $157.7(1)$ | O3-V-O4 | $172.8(1)$ |
| O2-V-N1 | $158.4(1)$ |  |  |

Table 4
Hydrogen-bonding geometry $\left(\AA^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\right)$ for (II).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${\text { O4-HO4b } \cdots \mathrm{O} 7^{\mathrm{ii}}}^{\text {H }} \mathrm{H}$ | 0.92 | 1.88 | $2.705(4)$ | 150 |
| O4-HO4a $\cdots$ O5 | 0.91 | 1.91 | $2.813(4)$ | 172 |

Symmetry code: (ii) $\frac{3}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}-y,-z$.

The H atoms of the water molecules were obtained from a difference map. For (I), these coordinates and an isotropic displacement parameter were refined; for (II), the H -atom coordinates were kept fixed at the observed positions. For both structures, the H
atoms of the ligand were constrained to have $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.95 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}$ of the parent atom. The weighting scheme employed was $w=1 /\left[\sigma_{\mathrm{cs}}(F)\right]^{2}$, where $\sigma_{\mathrm{cs}}(F)=\left[\sigma_{\mathrm{cs}}\left(F^{2}\right)+1.03 F^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}-|F|$, i.e $\sigma_{\mathrm{cs}}(F)=\sigma_{\mathrm{cs}}\left(F^{2}\right) / 2 F$ for large $F$ and small $\sigma_{\mathrm{cs}}\left(F^{2}\right)$, and $\sigma_{\mathrm{cs}}(F)=\left[\sigma_{\mathrm{cs}}\left(F^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$ for small $F^{2}$.

For both compounds, data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1995); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR97 (Altomare et al., 1997) and KRYSTAL (Hazell, 1995); program(s) used to refine structure: modified ORFLS (Busing et al., 1962) and KRYSTAL; molecular graphics: ORTEPIII (Burnett \& Johnson, 1996) and KRYSTAL; software used to prepare material for publication: KRYSTAL.

We are indebted to the Carlsberg Foundation for the diffractometer and for the cooling device, and to Karen Skov for recording the IR spectra.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GG1035). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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